

Nimrod of Rosario - Hyperborean Paradox



The "Hyperborean Wisdom", that is, the Wisdom of the Siddhas, is present in all ages of humanity. What a colossal mistake it would be to claim that it constitutes a RATIONAL system of knowledge! And yet this is the most common attitude. Rationalism always tries to find simple relations; for this purpose it compares and looks for coincidences, analogies, similarities. If it finds them: it establishes laws; which should be, as far as possible, infallible. If it does not find them: then something like an abyss separates the instances. This intellectually suicidal attitude can be summed up in two words: either reason or nihilism. Even the subtlest spirits yield to the Goddess Reason.

Let us briefly review some of the ideas, as brilliant as they are fatuous, put forward by the rationalists in their stubborn attempt to UNIFY the principles underlying the various sciences: Those who study religions, and COMPARE them, often find that something like a Primordial Tradition has left a common trace in the various myths. [This, moreover, has always been affirmed by the most enlightened spirits of mankind, who have identified this Primordial Tradition with the Hyperborean Wisdom]. No sooner have they considered this than they ARBITRARILY conclude that such a Primordial Tradition refers to a SINGLE COMMON MYTH.

Nothing could be more rationalistic and absurd than this hypothesis, which, of course, they never succeed in proving, despite the interesting symbolic analogies they often use in their theories.

This mental attitude is naïve and childish but, by dint of generalisation, it has become "natural" or "scientific" today. Let us look at other examples. If we speak of ethnology or anthropology, we are looking for a SINGLE RACE which, starting from a "centre of diffusion", be it the East or the West, Palestine or the Gobi, etc., justifies with its displacements ALL the

known migrations. If we speak of religion, a SINGLE MYTH, situated in a "centre of diffusion"; call it Atlantis or Lemuria or Mu or Hyperborea or America or Asia or "the North" or "the pole", etc., must justify ALL religious cosmogonies and even "esotericism", which would be a quintessential part of the mystical synthesis.

The same happens if we talk about the origin of the Universe where a UNIQUE, CENTRAL explosion is responsible for ALL that exists or if we refer to Physics where a law of the UNIFIED FIELD, which allows us to deduce ALL the laws of electromagnetism and gravitation, is still waiting for someone to invent it.

These REASONINGS, these UNIFIED ideas, are naive and childish, as we have already said. But attention, here "childish" should be read "proper to the pasu" because the rationalism we are describing, is the WAY OF THINKING of the pasu. And the tendency to unify is also characteristic of the children of Jehovah-Satan, let us not forget that the culmination of this tendency is the conception, also absurd and childish, of MONOTHEISM, a concept that conceals the pretension of UNIFYING in the figure of the Demiurge "The One" ALL religious devotions. For the tendency to unify and monotheism are also WAR TACTICS of the Synarchic Strategy.

[...] We have already denounced that a widespread attempt to justify the CHARISMATIC NEXUS linking the viryas consists in imagining the existence of an alleged "common esotericism" or "Secret Doctrine". We have seen how rationalism elaborates theories on the basis of the UNITY of the principles on which the sciences are founded. And now we must consider that, because of this tendency to unify, when it is discovered that the viryas are "esoteric" and that such esotericism is related to a "Hyperborean Wisdom" of which they all speak, rationalists cannot resist the temptation to assume, gratuitously, that such "Hyperborean Wisdom" constitutes the "common esotericism" or "Secret Doctrine" sought. If this is so, it is reasoned, such Hyperborean Wisdom must be a "system of knowledge" or at least a "common myth" which, rationalised, gives rise to an "Esoteric Doctrine". This is a LOGICAL conclusion and therefore CANNOT BE TRUE.

We, on the contrary, affirm that the Hyperborean Wisdom is UNIQUE TO EACH VIRYA in particular and, therefore, its teaching is different in all cases, there being nothing COMMON among the viryas. However, in spite of the absolute individuality of the knowledge acquired by each virya, certain TEMPORAL COINCIDENCIAS often occur, leading to synchronistic contact between viryas when they have adopted a path through collective mutation. Service for the sake of the race causes synchronous coincidence between viryas, who are involved in stories, and in which it would be futile to try to discover a physical or cultural LINK outside of the

COMMON ORIGIN, which, as we have already said, is absolutely indeterminate. In short, the Hyperborean Wisdom, different for all, CANNOT BE TEACHED, but CAN BE UNDERSTOOD. This conclusion IS NOT LOGICAL BUT ABSURD, and therefore MUST BE TRUE.

To possess Hyperborean lineage, as we have already said, means, among other things, to be something unique, not repeated or to be repeated, which constitutes a true SPIRITUAL INDIVIDUALITY (NOUS), TRANSCENDENT, opposed therefore to the illusory PSYCHIC INDIVIDUALITY, IMMANENT of the pasu. Hence, to DISCOVER the memory of blood, to access the MINNE, to consciously enter into the mystery of one's own Hyperborean Origin, always implies to live a new adventure, never thought or imagined by anyone. The Hyperborean Wisdom is MUTANT and CHANGES unfailingly everyone who receives its Gnosis. But this CHANGE, this MUTATION, is UNIQUE, so original that this is why the destiny of the awakened virya is called UNCREATED, as opposed to the "destiny" of the pasu, which is absolute MECHANICAL DETERMINATION.

In the light of these considerations we can again answer a fundamental question. It is the question that arises in all rational minds when they try to find an "esoteric" link between different representatives of the Hyperborean Tradition: Can one, by the rational way of association or comparison, establish a LINK that binds the "GREAT SPIRITS" of History, find a LINK between their ideologies and doctrines, find a RELATIONSHIP that reaches and ORGANICALLY LINKS the sacred symbols that express their different myths?

In the perplexity and bewilderment which follow the discovery that IT IS NOT POSSIBLE TO FIND AN ESOTERIC SYSTEM, which would justify the existence of so many men who LIVED ACCORDING TO SUCH A SYSTEM, the answer comes, to these BEAUX SPIRITS, by logical deduction: if it is not possible to RATIONALLY APPRECIATE the so-called Hyperborean Wisdom, which flourishes here and there, this must be attributed to two causes: either such Hyperborean Wisdom DOES NOT EXIST, or psychic manifestations of an IRRATIONAL character are so called. In the first case, if it does not exist, then its representatives are phonies, vulgar pretenders. In the second case, if it is an irrational knowledge, our civilisation calls those who possess it CRAZY, since only REASON differentiates man from animals and guarantees his NORMALITY. For a world made to the measure of the pasu the answer given will be clear and categorical then: either fakers or insane. There is no possible alternative, and the theosophical-volutionary system of the Synarchy and its infinite sects, shows the limit, paltry indeed, to which they allow themselves to go in their "spiritual" or "esoteric" enquiries. Outside "theosophism" one is either a faker, a pretender, a swindler, a crook, etc., or insane, schizophrenic, hysterical, psychotic, etc., but, in any case, firmly repressed by the satanic hosts.

The difficulties presented to the rational mind by the above questions, and which arise whenever a SERIOUS study of the Hyperborean Wisdom is attempted, stem mostly from the refusal to accept that we are dealing with a PARADOX FACT.

Rationalist pride finds it difficult to accept that reality poses paradoxes and even less prepared to face them. And yet, therein lies the key to rational defeat. It is not that "if there is no link, link, nexus, relation, link, etc." between different "events performed by viryas who claim to be inspired by the Hyperborean Wisdom", the conclusion is: either it does not exist or it is irrational and therefore, fakes or madmen. The fallacy lies in opposing the "rational" to the "irrational" to the exclusion of the paradoxes raised, for it is precisely the hyperborean condition of the virya that makes him a "maker of paradoxes".

Let us bear in mind that, by virtue of a DOUBLE OPPOSITION with the world of the Demiurge, every "hyperborean": siddha or awakened virya, GENERATES PARADOXES in whatever action he undertakes or thinks with regard to that world.

The Swiss psychiatrist C. G. JUNG has made an interesting reflection... "Has it not been observed that all religious statements contain logical contradictions and statements that are impossible in principle, that even this constitutes the essence of the religious statement? In this respect we have the profession of faith of Tertullian: ET MORTUUS EST DEI FILIUS, PRORSUS CREDIBILI EST, QUIA INEPTUM EST. ET SEPULTUS RESURREXIS CERTUM EST, QUIA IMPOSSIBILE EST. (And he is dead the son of God, which is frankly Incredible because it is absurd. And buried, he is risen again; this is true because it is Impossible). If Christianity demands belief in such contradictions, it seems to me that it cannot reproach anyone for giving validity to a few more paradoxes. It is a strange thing, but paradox is one of the highest spiritual goods; clarity, on the other hand, is a sign of weakness.

Thus a religion becomes inwardly impoverished when it loses or reduces its paradoxes, while it is enriched when they increase, for only paradox is able to embrace, in an approximate way, the immensity of Life. On the other hand, clarity and lack of contradiction have but one meaning, and are therefore inadequate to express the inconceivable."

Not everyone," JUNG continues, "possesses the intellectual capacity of a Tertullian, who was evidently not only capable of enduring paradox, but for him it even meant the ultimate religious certainty. The sheer number of the mindless makes the paradox dangerous. As long as it is considered a customary aspect of life and a natural thing that has never been questioned, it will continue to be safe.

But if an insufficiently developed intellect (which, as is well known, always has the best opinion of itself) had the idea of making the paradox of a declaration of

Fé the object of his reflections, as serious as they were impotent, would not be long before he would burst into an iconoclastic guffaw of derision and point the finger at all those uncovered INEPT of the mystery.

It has gone rapidly downhill since the French Enlightenment, for when this understanding, incapable of bearing any paradox, is awakened, there is no sermon to restrain it. A new mission then arises: namely, to bring this still undeveloped intelligence gradually to a higher step, and to increase the number of those who can at least have a suspicion of the enormous extent of a paradoxical Truth.

So much for the position of the "esoteric rationalists" with regard to the question posed and our critique. We will now give a simple answer, elaborated on the basis of the synthesis of the above concepts: "Each quest on the path of the Hyperborean Wisdom is completely "different". There is no "common esotericism" but infinite individual esotericisms, peculiar to each virya. Therefore there is no "link", "nexus", "relationship", etc., between the Hyperborean viryas, which can be quantified".

This paradoxical answer should conclude the chapter, but we will briefly extend it to highlight another widespread error that is caused by not distinguishing that COMMUNITY OF LANGUAGE does not imply COMMUNITY OF IDEAS but COMMUNITY OF IDEAS.

COMMUNITY OF CONCEPTS. This is a "cultural", semantic error, because rational analyses are carried out on the basis of CONCEPTS enclosed in linguistic constructs: WORDS that try to represent IDEAS to enable communication between people; "COMMON" IDEAS that we naively believe have the same meaning for all. Let's take a word; for example: "God". Everybody "knows" what it means, "knows" the CONCEPT; so much for reason. But is the IDEA "God", an IDEA that goes beyond reason, the same for all the possessors of such a word, or, in other words, does the word "God" evoke in all the same IDEA? Certainly not. And substituting the word "God" for any other word, we immediately understand that all words, apart from their conceptual content, that is, their conventional definition, go beyond the rational, possess an IDEAL content specific to each person, non-transferable and inexpressible, which, probably, has some relation with what is called CHARACTER, STYLE, ETC., of the individual and which differentiates him intellectually from others.

When this elementary distinction between the thing "in itself", the IDEA of the thing and the LINGUISTIC CONCEPT that the "name" of the thing represents is not taken into account, it is possible to commit tremendous analytical errors.

But if this error is added to the usual rationalist obsession to apply dialectical analysis to everything that exists, then the result can border on the borderline of foolishness. These borders are indeed reached in the case of "esoteric rationalism",

The viryas being the possessors of an entirely personal Hyperborean Wisdom, and one mistake having already been made in claiming to find a "common esotericism", a second mistake is made in not understanding that the "esoteric" or "symbolic concepts" used by the viryas refer to ABSOLUTELY different ideas. As in the case of the "mysterious coincidences" among the viryas, which can lead one to believe in "destiny" or some other form of determination, there is here a phenomenon of SYNCHRONY. For in all that is subject to the TEMPORAL ORDER, that is, to the Will of the Demiurge, the "law of evolution" and the Plan of the One is at work, but as the virya purifies his blood, a SPIRITUAL DISINCRONY with that ORDER takes place. This DISINCRONISATION with the Satanic Plan generates, within the framework of a Hyperborean Mystique, the SYNCHRONY between the viryas and the Siddhas. And this SYNCHRONY is, for those who attain the Mystery of the Pure Blood, present in ALL CONCRETE ACTS, including LINGUISTIC COMMUNICATION.

Hence the famous "esoteric concepts" and "universal sacred symbols" which the "esoteric rationalists" wield as PROOF OR EVIDENCE of a SECRET DOCTRINE or "common esotericism" do not and never will have the same meaning for the different viryas. And if any of them, when contacted, employ them in their linguistic communications, this coincidence of words and concepts must be understood simply as a phenomenon of SYNCHRONY.

That is why the awakened viryas, even though speaking the same language and using the same words, never coincide in the ideal content of the concepts, for they possess an ABSOLUTELY DIFFERENTIATED consciousness which can only coincide in one thing: the Common Centre; or in the figure of the Führer, who from the common centre establishes the "charismatic bond" between the viryas.

A widespread error has been highlighted: the non-distinction between "linguistic concept" and "mental representation" or "idea" of the thing. However, this error can acquire very subtle variants, not so easy to appreciate, in certain "esoteric rationalists" who, while accepting the above distinction, perhaps because they adhere to some "idealistic philosophy", pretend to subject IDEAS to the "FORCEPS" of the ARCHETYPES.

But this "mould", this "ultimate limit" which supports the qualities of the thing, the archetype, is an ONTOLOGICAL attribute rather than a FORMAL one, and the objection raised thus falls within the field of METAPHYSICS; although it is horrifying to consider that there are those who profess a kind of "metaphysical rationalism". Let us study, finally, this variant of the error: The "esoteric-metaphysical rationalists" object that there is an ultimate stage, a higher, archetypal plane, to which all ideas refer: The Archetypes, pure symbols emanated by the Demiurge, enclose the ontological essence of all that exists and are those who determine as a whole the FORM of things. The IDEAS "thought" by man are, then, mere copies of his UNIVERSAL ARCHETYPES. And since

the idea of the same thing, thought of by many people, nevertheless shares a common archetype, there would be no gnoseological possibility of surpassing that archetype. In other words, "God" - the Demiurge creator of archetypes - must be at ease: man (pasu) cannot give any "surprises", he has not eaten from the tree of gnosis.

Let us explain it in another way. If one thinks of an idea represented by two words, "equilateral triangle", he will evoke in his imagination a typical "figure"; if ten people think of "equilateral triangle" each of them will represent to himself in such and such a way a typical figure; the same if a hundred, a thousand, a million or ALL MEN do so, as long as they think of "equilateral triangle" they will evoke a figure or thing which, although in each of them, in each individual consciousness, is different, CAN NEVER EXCEED THE COMMON ARCHETAGE of all the equilateral triangles that have ever been thought or will ever be thought, from the beginning of the world to its - no doubt approaching - end.

It is now understood that man (pasu) will not give surprises: however he may think of a UNIVERSAL IDEA, he will never think of it originally. This view, which subjugates the rationalists, presented here clearly as a metaphysical objection, is COMPLETELY FALSE for the hyperborean viryas, and in no way invalidates the answer given by us to the fundamental question of the virya nexus, BUT IT IS TRUE FOR THE PASU. They cannot originally think any ideas, for their consciousness (soul) is ABSOLUTELY DETERMINED by the archetypes. The awakened virya, on the other hand, possesses a HYPERBORNEOUS SPIRIT, totally alien to the world of the Demiurge and its determination; a spirit which is PURE POSSIBILITY and is also called VRIL. The Hyperborean Wisdom is "thought" with this uncreated organ, and therefore no virya will ever COINCIDE with another, neither in the PATH, nor in the WAY OF RETURN, nor in anything that can be "universal" or "common". There is, as seen in the SS Psychosocial Strategy, ONLY ONE IDEA COMMON to all viryas and it is not archetypal. This idea is a BLOOD REMEMBRANCE which is summed up in the word ORIGIN. That one element common to all viryas, the primordial Origin, is what constitutes the FACT OF RACE and that is why the Hyperborean Wisdom calls the Origin: RACE OF SPIRIT. It is by virtue of the origin that ALL ACTION of the virya is ALWAYS directed towards the race, towards the good of the race, seeking its MUTATION.

The Hyperborean Wisdom says: "all action on behalf of the race brings us closer to the origin and to our own mutation, but all search for the origin, which is carried out independently of the race, must ABSTAIN FROM ACTION to be effective, it must be limited to MINNE.

Perhaps, to conclude, it is sheer madness to the rationalist criterion that several respectable people fight, risk their property and their lives, for things "of no practical value". And the most incomprehensible thing, without any of them attributing to these things the same value or the same properties, acting on the basis of the intimate certainty of their usefulness; without between them

and even without knowing, at times, against whom they were fighting. Madness, pure madness, the rationalist would say, without seeing that they are all behind that primordial fact which is the ORIGIN and that is why they coincide synchronistically in the ETERNAL RETURN, because the ORIGIN makes them participate in the hyperborean race and "links them CHARISMATICALLY".

<http://www.templodelosdioses.org/>